

Some Results on Random Fixed Points of Completely Random Operators

Dang Hung Thang · Pham The Anh

Received: 17 December 2012 / Accepted: 6 August 2013 / Published online: 5 October 2013
© Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST) and Springer Science+Business Media Singapore 2013

Abstract In this paper, some results on random fixed points of quasi-contractive and asymptotically contractive completely random operators are given. This is a continuation of the paper of Thang and Anh (Random Oper. Stoch. Equ. 21:1–20, 2013).

Keywords Random operator · Completely random operator · Lipschitz random operator · Random fixed point

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 60B11 · 60G57 · 60K37 · 37L55 · 47H10

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, X, Y be separable metric spaces and $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a random operator in the sense that for each fixed x in X , the mapping $\omega \mapsto F(\omega, x)$ is measurable. An X -valued random variable ξ is said to be a random fixed point of the random operator $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(\omega, \xi(\omega)) = \xi(\omega)$ a.s. In recent years, many random fixed point theorems have been proved (see, e.g. [2–4] and the references therein). Some authors [3, 6, 8] have shown that under some assumptions, the random operator $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ has a random fixed point if and only if for almost all ω , the deterministic mapping $F_\omega: x \mapsto F(\omega, x)$ has a fixed point. Therefore, the existence of a random fixed point follows immediately from the existence of the corresponding deterministic fixed point.

A random operator $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ may be considered as an action which transforms each deterministic input x in X into a random output $F(\omega, x)$ with values in Y . Taking into

D.H. Thang
Faculty of Mathematics Mechanics Informatics, Hanoi National University, 334 Nguyen Trai Str.,
Thanh Xuan dist., Hanoi, Vietnam
e-mail: thangdh@vnu.edu.vn

P.T. Anh (✉)
Department of Information technology, Le Qui Don Technical University, 236 Hoang Quoc Viet Str.,
Cau Gray dist., Hanoi, Vietnam
e-mail: phamtheanhhn@gmail.com

account many circumstances in which the inputs are also subject to influence of a random environment, an action which transforms each random input with values in X into random output with values in Y is called a completely random operator from X into Y .

As a continuation of [9], where some results about random fixed points of weakly contractive and semi-contractive completely random operators were presented, in this paper we obtain some results on random fixed points of quasi-contractive and asymptotically contractive completely random operators

2 Some Properties of Completely Random Operators

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space and X be a separable Banach space. A mapping $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called a X -valued random variable if ξ is $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$ -measurable, where \mathcal{B} denotes the Borel σ -algebra of X . The set of all (equivalent classes) X -valued random variables is denoted by $L_0^X(\Omega)$ and it is equipped with the topology of convergence in probability. Namely, the basis neighborhoods for this topology are the sets of the form $V(u_0, \epsilon, \alpha) = \{u \in L_0^X(\Omega) : \mathbb{P}\{\|u - u_0\| > \epsilon\} < \alpha\}$ and this topology is metrizable. The metric d on $L_0^X(\Omega)$ that induces this topology can be given by

$$d(u, v) = \mathbb{E} \frac{\|u - v\|}{1 + \|u - v\|}.$$

It is known that $L_0^X(\Omega)$ becomes a complete metric space under this metric (see [7]) and a sequence $(u_n) \subset L_0^X(\Omega)$ converges to u if and only if (u_n) converges to u in probability.

At first, recall that (see, e.g., [8]):

Definition 1 Let X, Y be two separable Banach spaces.

1. A mapping $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a random operator if for each fixed x in X , the mapping $\omega \mapsto F(\omega, x)$ is measurable.
2. A random operator $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous if for each ω in Ω the mapping $x \mapsto F(\omega, x)$ is continuous.

The following is the notion of a completely random operator.

Definition 2 (See [9]) Let X, Y be two separable Banach spaces.

1. A mapping $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^Y(\Omega)$ is called a completely random operator.
2. The completely random operator Φ is said to be continuous in probability if the mapping $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^Y(\Omega)$ is continuous, i.e., for each sequence (u_n) in $L_0^X(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_n u_n = u$ in probability, we have $\lim_n \Phi u_n = \Phi u$ in probability.
3. The completely random operator Φ is said to be an extension of a random operator $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$ if for each x in X

$$\Phi x(\omega) = F(\omega, x) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where for each x in X , x denotes the random variable u in $L_0^X(\Omega)$ given by $u(\omega) = x$ a.s.

3 Random Fixed Points of Some Completely Random Operators

Let $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ be a random operator. Recall that (see e.g. [2–4]) an X -valued random variable ξ is said to be a random fixed point of the random operator F if

$$F(\cdot, \xi(\cdot)) = \xi(\cdot) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Assume that F is continuous and let $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ be defined by $\Phi u(\omega) = F(\omega, u(\omega))$. Then by [9, Theorem 2.3] Φ is a completely random operator extending F and for each random fixed point ξ of F we have

$$\Phi \xi = \xi \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This leads to the following definition:

Definition 3 Let $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ be a completely random operator. An X -valued random variable ξ in $L_0^X(\Omega)$ is called a random fixed point of Φ if

$$\Phi \xi = \xi \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Next, we recall a notion of comparison function used by Beg in [1] and Olatinwo and Olaleru in [5]. This type of comparison function is used in order to extend the fixed point theorems satisfying contractive conditions.

Definition 4 [1, 5] A nondecreasing function $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called a comparison function if

1. $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$;
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$,

where $f^n(t) = \underbrace{f(f(\dots f(t)\dots))}_{n \text{ times}}$ and $f^0(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

It is easy to see that the following lemma holds

Lemma 1 If $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a comparison function then $f(t) < t$ for any $t > 0$.

Definition 5 Given a comparison function $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and a positive integer k . A continuous in probability completely random operator $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ is said to be (f, k) -quasi-contractive if

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k u - \Phi^k v\| > t) \leq f(C(\Phi, u, v, t)) \quad (1)$$

for all u, v in $L_0^X(\Omega)$, $t > 0$ where

$$C(\Phi, u, v, t) = \max_{0 \leq p, q \leq k, (p, q) \neq (k, k)} \{\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^p u - \Phi^q v\| > t)\},$$

$\Phi^n u = \underbrace{\Phi(\Phi(\dots \Phi(u)\dots))}_{n \text{ times}}$ and $\Phi^0 u = u$ for all $u \in L_0^X(\Omega)$

Now, we are concerned with random fixed points of (f, k) -quasi-contractive completely random operators.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a separable Banach space and $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ be a (f, k) -quasi-contractive completely random operator, where the comparison function f satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^i(1) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Then, Φ has a unique random fixed point in $L_0^X(\Omega)$, and the iterative sequence $(\Phi^n u_0)$ converges in probability to a random fixed point of Φ for any random variable u_0 in $L_0^X(\Omega)$.

Proof Let u_0 be a random variable in $L_0^X(\Omega)$ and $u_{n+1} = \Phi u_n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. From (1), for $n \geq k$ and all $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| > t) &= \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k(u_{n+1-k}) - \Phi^k(u_{n-k})\| > t) \\ &\leq f\left(\max_{\substack{0 \leq p_1, q_1 \leq k, \\ (p_1, q_1) \neq (k, k)}} \{\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^{p_1}(u_{n+1-k}) - \Phi^{q_1}(u_{n-k})\| > t)\}\right) \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{0 \leq p_1, q_1 \leq k, \\ (p_1, q_1) \neq (k, k)}} \{f(\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^{p_1}(u_{n+1-k}) - \Phi^{q_1}(u_{n-k})\| > t))\} \\ &\quad \max_{\substack{0 \leq p_2, q_2 \leq k \\ (p_2, q_2) \neq (k, k)}} \{f(\mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+p_2+1-k} - u_{n+q_2+1-k}\| > t))\} \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{0 \leq p_j, q_j \leq k, \\ (p_j, q_j) \neq (k, k)}} \{f^i(\mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+p_j+\dots+p_j+1-k} - u_{n+q_j+\dots+q_j+1-k}\| > t))\} \\ &\leq f^i(1) \end{aligned}$$

with $i = \lfloor n/k \rfloor$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+h} - u_n\| > t) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+h} - u_{n+h-1}\| + \dots + \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| > t) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+h} - u_{n+h-1}\| > t/h) + \dots + \mathbb{P}(\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| > t/h) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=\lfloor n/k \rfloor}^{\lfloor (n+h)/k \rfloor} f^i(1). \end{aligned}$$

From (2), we have $\lim_n \sum_{i=\lfloor n/k \rfloor}^{\lfloor (n+h)/k \rfloor} f^i(1) = 0$. Hence, (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L_0^X(\Omega)$. Then, there exists ξ in $L_0^X(\Omega)$ such that (u_n) converges in probability to ξ . Since $u_{n+1} = \Phi u_n$ and Φ is continuous in probability, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\Phi \xi = \xi$, i.e., ξ is a random fixed point of Φ .

Let η be another random fixed point of Φ . So, for any $t > 0$, if $\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) > 0$, then from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) &= \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k \xi - \Phi^k \eta\| > t) \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq p, q \leq k, (p, q) \neq (k, k)} \{f(\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^p \xi - \Phi^q \eta\| > t))\} \\ &= f(\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t)) \\ &< \mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) \end{aligned}$$

which yields a contradiction. So, we have $\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$, i.e., $\xi = \eta$ a.s. Thus, Φ has a unique random fixed point. \square

Taking $f(t) = \lambda t$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, we get

Corollary 1 Let X be a separable Banach space, k be a positive integer number and $\Phi: L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ be a continuous in probability completely random operator such that for each $t > 0$, and u, v in $L_0^X(\Omega)$

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k u - \Phi^k v\| > t) \leq \lambda C(\Phi, u, v, t),$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Then, Φ has a unique random fixed point in $L_0^X(\Omega)$, and the iterative sequence $(\Phi^n u_0)$ converges in probability to a random fixed point of Φ for any u_0 in $L_0^X(\Omega)$.

Example 1 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, where $\Omega = [0, 1]$, \mathcal{F} is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$, \mathbb{P} is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and $X = \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the completely random operator $\Phi: L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\Phi u(\omega) = \begin{cases} qu(2\omega) & \text{if } 0 \leq \omega \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \omega \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $q \in (0, 1)$ is a real constant. Put

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{\omega : \|\Phi u(\omega) - \Phi v(\omega)\| > t\} \\ &= \left\{ \omega \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] : \|u(2\omega) - v(2\omega)\| > t/q \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = \{\omega : \|u(\omega) - v(\omega)\| > t/q\}.$$

Then we see that B is the dilation of A , $B = 2A$. So $\mathbb{P}(B) = 2\mathbb{P}(A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi u(\omega) - \Phi v(\omega)\| > t) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(\|u(\omega) - v(\omega)\| > t/q) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(\|u(\omega) - v(\omega)\| > t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in L_0^X(\Omega)$ and $t > 0$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi u(\omega) - \Phi v(\omega)\| > t) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\mathbb{P}(\|u(\omega) - v(\omega)\| > t), \mathbb{P}(\|u(\omega) - \Phi v(\omega)\| > t), \\ & \quad \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi u(\omega) - v(\omega)\| > t)\} \end{aligned}$$

and we find that Φ is (f, k) -quasi-contractive with $f(t) = t/2$ and $k = 1$. It is easy to see that the random variable $u = 0$ is a random fixed point of Φ .

Recall that if a subset A of \mathbb{R} is Lebesgue measurable of measure λ and $\delta > 0$, then the dilation of A by δ defined by $\delta A = \{\delta x : x \in A\}$ is also Lebesgue measurable of measure $\delta\lambda(A)$.

Definition 6 Let X be a separable Banach space, $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a comparison function. A continuous in probability completely random operator $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ is said to be f -asymptotically contractive if there exist continuous functions $f_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that f_n uniformly converges to f and for all u, v in $L_0^X(\Omega)$, $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^n u - \Phi^n v\| > t) \leq f_n(\mathbb{P}(\|u - v\| > t)). \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2 Let X be a separable Banach space and $\Phi : L_0^X(\Omega) \rightarrow L_0^X(\Omega)$ be a f -asymptotically contractive completely random operator. Then, Φ has a unique random fixed point and the iterative sequence $(\Phi^n u_0)$ converges in probability to a random fixed point of Φ for any u_0 in $L_0^X(\Omega)$

Proof Let u, v be random variables in $L_0^X(\Omega)$. From (3), for $n \geq 1$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^n u - \Phi^n v\| > t) \leq f_n(\mathbb{P}(\|u - v\| > t)).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^n u - \Phi^n v\| > t) & \leq \limsup_n f_n(\mathbb{P}(\|u - v\| > t)) \\ & = f(\mathbb{P}(\|u - v\| > t)). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^n u - \Phi^n v\| > t) = \epsilon > 0$. From (3), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^{n+k} u - \Phi^{n+k} v\| > t) \leq f_n(\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k u - \Phi^k v\| > t)).$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^{n+k} u - \Phi^{n+k} v\| > t) \\ & \leq \limsup_n f_n(\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k u - \Phi^k v\| > t)) \\ & = f(\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k u - \Phi^k v\| > t)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_k \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^{n+k}u - \Phi^{n+k}v\| > t) \\ & \leq \limsup_k f(\mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^k u - \Phi^k v\| > t)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $0 < \epsilon \leq f(\epsilon) < \epsilon$, a contradiction. So, assume that $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^n u - \Phi^n v\| > t) = 0$.

Let u_0 be a random variable in $L_0^X(\Omega)$. Taking $u = \Phi^h u_0$, $v = u_0$, we deduce

$$\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^{n+h} u_0 - \Phi^n u_0\| > t) = 0.$$

Putting $u_n = \Phi^n u_0$, it follows that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L_0^X(\Omega)$. Then, there exists ξ in $L_0^X(\Omega)$ such that (u_n) converges in probability to ξ . Since $u_{n+1} = \Phi u_n$ and Φ is continuous in probability, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\Phi \xi = \xi$, i.e., ξ is a random fixed point of Φ .

Let η be another random fixed point of Φ . So, for any $t > 0$, if $\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) > 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) &= \mathbb{P}(\|\Phi^n \xi - \Phi^n \eta\| > t) \\ &\leq f_n(\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t)) \end{aligned}$$

for all n . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) &< f(\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t)) \\ &< \mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) \end{aligned}$$

which yields a contradiction. So, we have $\mathbb{P}(\|\xi - \eta\| > t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$, i.e., $\xi = \eta$ a.s. Thus, Φ has a unique random fixed point \square

Acknowledgements Both authors would like to thank the referees for pointing out details and giving helpful suggestions on the earlier version of the paper. The authors also want to thank Dr. Nguyen Thanh for helpful discussions and suggestions. This work is supported by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science Technology Development (NAFOSTED).

References

1. Beg, I., Olanwo, M.O. Fixed point of involution mappings in convex metric spaces. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **16**, 93–99 (2011)
2. Beg, I., Shahzad, N. Random fixed point theorems for nonexpansive and contractive-type random operators on Banach spaces. *J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal.* **7**, 569–580 (1994)
3. Benavides, T.D., Acedo, G.L., Xu, H.-K. Random fixed points of set-valued operators. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **124**, 831–838 (1996)
4. Bharucha-Reid, A.T. Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **82**, 641–657 (1976)
5. Olaleru, J.O. Approximation of common fixed points of weakly compatible pairs using the Jungck iteration. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, 8425–8431 (2011)
6. Shahzad, N. Random fixed points of discontinuous random maps. *Math. Comput. Model.* **41**, 1431–1436 (2005)

7. Schwartz, L.: *Geometry and probability in Banach spaces*. Lecture Notes Math., vol. 852, Springer, Berlin (1981)
8. Thang, D.H., Anh, T.N.: On random equations and applications to random fixed point theorems. *Random Oper. Stoch. Equ.* **18**, 199–212 (2010)
9. Thang, D.H., Anh, P.T.: Random fixed points of completely random operators. *Random Oper. Stoch. Equ.* **21**, 1–20 (2013)